

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/710,828	08/05/2004	Daniel C. Edelstein	FIS920040159	4827
30743 75	90 06/29/2006		EXAM	INER
WHITHAM, C	CURTIS & CHRISTOF	PIZARRO CRESPO, MARCOS D		
11491 SUNSET SUITE 340	HILLS ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA 20190			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments		. 10/710,82	8	EDELSTEIN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
			Pizarro-Crespo	2814		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set of extended period for reply with the set of extended period for reply with the set of extended period for reply with the office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. Itory period will apply and wi II, by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be time I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>08 May 2006</i> .		•		
	•	)☐ This action is n	on-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
<b>4</b> ) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction	n and/or election req	uirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2006</u> is	s/are: a)∏ accepte	d or b)⊠ objected to l	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	·				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority d			ion No		
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority d</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of</li></ul>				Stane	
	application from the Internation			od III tilio National (	Jugo	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•		ed.		
			•			
Attachmen			4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)		
2)  Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Portion of Portion (PTO-1449) et No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate	-152)	
			**			

Attorney's Docket Number: FIS920040159US1 (00750492AA)

Filing Date: 8/5/2004

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Edelstein et al.

Examiner: Marcos D. Pizarro-Crespo

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action responds to the amendment filed on 5/8/2006.

### Acknowledgment

1. The amendment filed on 5/8/2006, responding to the Office action mailed on 2/7/2006, has been entered. The present Office action is made with all the suggested amendments being fully considered. Accordingly, pending in this Office action are claims 1-20.

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claim 10 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the election filed on 12/12/2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of the nonelected claim(s) or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character **120** has been used to designate both a conductor (see, e.g., par.0033/II.3) and a trench (see, e.g., par.0021/II.9).

Application/Control Number: 10/710,828 (Final Rejection) Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: **320** (see, *e.g.*, fig. 3) and **730** (see, *e.g.*, fig. 9).

5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li (US 6955986).

Application/Control Number: 10/710,828 (Final Rejection) Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

8. Regarding claim 1, Li shows (see, e.g., fig. 1) all aspects of the instant invention including an integrated circuit including:

- A first layer 104 having a metal or metal alloy 102 at a surface thereof
- A second layer 108 adjacent to said surface having a metal or metal alloy via therein
- An interlayer connection between the metal/alloy of the first layer and the metal/alloy via

wherein the connection comprises a stable alloy region that has graded mechanical characteristics, contains a predetermined quantity of alloying material, and is restricted to an interfacial region of the metal/alloy of the first layer and metal/alloy via by a barrier layer (see, e.g., col.5/II.15-28).

- 9. Regarding claim 2, Li shows the metal/alloy of the first layer is a first metal and the metal/alloy of the second layer is a second metal (see, e.g., fig. 1).
- 10. Regarding claim 3, Li shows the metal/alloy comprises copper (see, e.g., col.4/II.23).
- 11. Regarding claim 4, Li shows the barrier including a layer of tantalum, tungsten, or titanium or alloys or nitrides thereof (see, e.g., col.5/II.13).
- 12. Regarding claim 5, Li shows the barrier comprising a layer of tantalum nitride and a layer of tantalum (see, *e.g.*, col.5/ll.13).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 15. Claims 1-4, 6, and 7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Besser (US 6633085) in view of Lopatin (US 6368961).
- 16. Regarding claim 1, Besser (see, *e.g.*, fig. 7) shows most aspects of the instant invention including an integrated circuit including:
  - A first layer 30 having metal or metal alloy at a surface thereof
  - A second layer 34 adjacent to said surface and having a metal of metal alloy via 52 therein
  - An interlayer connection between the metal or metal alloy of the first layer 30 and the via 52

wherein the interlayer connection comprises a stable alloy region 50 restricted by a barrier layer 46 to an interfacial region between the metal or metal alloy of the first layer 30 and the via 52. Besser, however, fails to show the stable alloy region 50 having

COMC. 2014

hand, teaches that providing said graded mechanical characteristics to Besser's alloy

graded mechanical characteristics. Lopatin (see, e.g., abstract/ll.6-10), on the other

region would simultaneously provide the alloy region with good adhesion to both the

barrier layer 46 and the copper via 52.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in

Page 6

the art to provide Besser's alloy region with Lopatin's graded mechanical characteristics

to provide the alloy region with simultaneous good adhesion properties to both the

barrier and the copper via.

17. Regarding claim 2, Besser shows the metal or metal alloy of the first layer is a

first metal 30 and the metal or metal alloy of the second layer is a second metal 52 (see,

e.g., fig. 7).

18. Regarding claim 3, Besser shows the metal or metal alloy comprises copper

(see, e.g., col.4/II.51).

19. Regarding claim 4, Besser shows the barrier includes a layer of tantalum nitride

(see, e.g., col.5/II.54).

20. Regarding claim 6, Besser shows the metal alloy of the interlayer connection at

said interface includes tin (see, e.g., col.5/II.17).

21. Regarding claim 7, Besser shows the barrier 46 is above the interlayer

connection and the metal alloy of the interlayer connection 50 is confined to a region

below the barrier 46 (see, e.g., fig. 7).

22. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Besser in

view of Lopatin and Wang (US 6884329).

23. Regarding claim 5, Besser shows most aspects of the instant invention (see, e.g., paragraph 12 above). Besser also shows that the barrier may be tantalum or tantalum nitride (see, e.g., col.5/II.54). He, however, fails to show the barrier including both a layer of tantalum and a layer of tantalum nitride. Wang (see, e.g., col.5/II.46-50), however, teaches that using both, tantalum would act as an adhesion layer and tantalum nitride as a barrier layer.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to have Besser's barrier comprising a layer of tantalum and a layer of tantalum nitride, as suggested by Wang, to have a copper diffusion barrier layer functioning as both an adhesion and a barrier layer.

## Allowable Subject Matter

24. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

25. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

26. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- 27. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 28. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2814 via the Art Unit 2814 Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos D. Pizarro-Crespo at (571) 272-1716 and between the hours of 9:30 AM to 8:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Thursday or by e-mail via <a href="Marcos.Pizarro@uspto.gov">Marcos.Pizarro@uspto.gov</a>. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,828 (Final Rejection)

Page 9

Art Unit: 2814

30. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

31. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date		
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/750-766	6/10/2006		
Other Documentation:			
Electronic Database(s): EAST (USPAT, EPO, JPO)	6/10/2006		

Marcos D. Pizarro-Crespo Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2814 571-272-1716

marcos.pizarro@uspto.gov